

# Pan-Cheshire Child Death Overview Panel

# Annual Report

1<sup>st</sup> April 2019 – 31<sup>st</sup> March 2020

Forward	
Independent Chair of Pan-Cheshire CDOP	p2
Section 1:	
Executive Summary	
Achievements during 2019-20	р3
Summary of key points and themes	р3
Update of action plan	p4
Priorities for 2020-21	р5
Update on last year's recommendations	р5
Recommendations	р5
Section 2:	
Overview and Processes:	
Panel Meetings	р7
Processes/ Networks/ Reviews and Sub-groups	р8
Funding	p10
Issues Identified	p10
CDOP Priorities 2020-21	p11

### Section 3: Data and Analysis

p12

Mike Leaf Independent Chair Pan-Cheshire CDOP September 2020

## Forward from the Independent CDOP Chair

This is my fourth report as Independent Chair for the Pan-Cheshire CDOP, which follows implementation of new Safeguarding and Child Death Review (CDR) processes, and the first year in which the National Child Mortality Database (NCMD) has reported on its first set of data which the CDOP has contributed to, and features as a significant part of this annual report. The report aims to not only reflect the cases the panel has considered throughout 2019/20, but also the achievements of the partnership, future priorities for action, and issues related to the implementing the child death review processes.

A Memorandum of Understanding between CDOP and the statutory partners for child death review (Local Authorities and Clinical Commissioning Groups) has been agreed, and clarifies the respective expectations of each partner for effective delivery and oversight of effective child death review system. As Chair, it will be my responsibility to ensure that CDOP provides oversight and assurance of the child deaths review processes, to the statutory partners.

I would like to thank all the Panel members, for their continued commitment and hard work, and in particular, to Anne McKenzie for the hard work that goes on behind the scenes to ensure that the Panel runs smoothly, and keeps pace with the changing landscape.

Mike Leaf Independent Chair Pan-Cheshire CDOP September, 2020

## Section 1: Executive Summary

There is a statutory requirement for the statutory partners to make arrangements to carry out child death reviews. These arrangements should result in the establishment of a Child Death Overview Panel (CDOP), or equivalent, to review the deaths of all children normally resident in the relevant local authority area, and if they consider it appropriate the deaths in that area of non-resident children. Responsibility for reviewing child deaths no longer sits with local safeguarding arrangements and sits with the following: Halton Borough Council Warrington Borough Council **Cheshire East Borough Council Cheshire West and Chester Council** Eastern Cheshire Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) South Cheshire CCG Vale Royal CCG West Cheshire CCG Halton CCG Warrington CCG

It has been agreed that Pan-Cheshire CDOP will:

- provide oversight and assurance of the new Child Death Review processes and ensure that it meets the required statutory standards.
- review all infant and child deaths under 18 years of age. This includes neonates where a death certificate has been issued, irrespective of gestational age.
- identify and highlight any modifiable factors, and bring these to the attention of strategic partners, including Health and Wellbeing Boards, Multi-Agency Safeguarding Arrangements and Community Safety Partnerships where necessary in order to inform their preventative planning and commissioning arrangements.

The purpose of this Annual Report is to:

- Clarify and outline the processes adopted by the Pan-Cheshire CDOP
- Assure the Child Death Review Partners and stakeholders that there is an effective interagency system for reviewing child deaths across Cheshire, which meets national guidance
- Provide an overview of information on trends and patterns in child deaths reviewed across Cheshire during the last reporting year (2019-20)
- Highlight issues arising from the child deaths reviewed
- Report on achievements and progress from last year's annual report
- Make recommendations to agencies and professionals involved in children's health, wellbeing and safeguarding across Cheshire

Achievements and impact during 2019-20

✓ Managed and modified oversight of the Child Death Review processes

- ✓ Embedded eCDOP software processes for collecting and sharing information and intelligence on child deaths
- ✓ Engaged with other CDOPs across the NW and nationally, and sharing good practice
- ✓ CDOP Study/ Development day delivered
- ✓ ICON<sup>11</sup> CDOP has supported the Implementation of the ICON Programme throughout Pan Cheshire. This is an evidenced programme that is has been designed by to reduce Abusive Infant Head Trauma through primary prevention interventions, population based awareness, raising public health interventions and secondary prevention interventions. Several key members of the CDR Panel have been key members of the Steering Group and have been involved in the co-ordination and implementation.
- ✓ Developed a Self Assessment Framework and Risk Register to identify gaps in the CDR process, inform action plans going forward and provide assurance of the CDR processes to partners
- ✓ Provided support and guidance to statutory partners in assuring NHS England on the implementation of the new Child Death Review processes by producing standard formats
- ✓ Developed and agreed a Memorandum of Understanding between CDOP and its statutory partners which sets out the relationship between them and clarifies its role in assurance of CDR processes.
- ✓ Circulated good practice, learning and tools across Merseyside
- Challenged and sought assurance from providers on elements of inadequate care / deviation from protocols arising from case reviews at panel, to assure quality
- ✓ Provided support and guidance to local providers on new processes
- ✓ Established effective working processes between CDOP and the North West Neonatal Operational Delivery Network (NWNODN) to ensure that CDOP receives reviews o neonatal deaths in a timely and structured format. This also included the opportunity to challenge some of their assessments.
- ✓ Ensured that exceptional care is recognised by writing to providers where care has gone beyond that which might be expected.
- ✓ Updated Sudden Unexpected Death protocol

Summary of key points and themes:

Of those deaths reviewed [2018-19 percentage in square brackets]:

- 44.4% of the deaths occurred before the child reached 28 days (20 deaths)[ 46.9%]
- 64.4% of the deaths occurred before the child reached one year of age (29 deaths)[ 67.3%]
- 11.1% of the deaths occurred in Children aged 1 year to 4 year (5 deaths) [8.2%]
- 6.6% of the deaths occurred in Children aged 5 years to 9 years (3 deaths) [10.2%]
- 11.1% of the deaths occurred in Children aged 10 years to 14 years (5 deaths)[ 8.2%]
- 6.6% of the deaths occurred in Children aged 15 years to 17 years (3 deaths) [6.1%]
- 51% of the deaths were male (23 deaths) [77.5%]
- 24.4% were Perinatal/Neonatal events (11 Deaths) [46.9%]
- 38% of deaths reviewed had 'modifiable factors' (17 deaths) [45%]
- 40% deaths were classified as 'unexpected' [39%]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ICON - Infant crying is normal; <u>C - Comforting methods can help</u>; O - It's OK to walk away; N - Never, ever shake a baby

• 37.7% of cases reviewed had modifiable factors. Of these, 64.7% were linked to deaths under one year of age.

Distribution percentages remain consistent for most age groups, whilst there has been a marked reduction in the number of perinatal/ neonatal deaths.

A modifiable factor is one *which may have contributed to the death of the child and which, by means of locally or nationally achievable interventions, could be modified to reduce the risk of future child deaths.* Modifiable factors identified for Cheshire during 2019/20 (in order of prevalence) include [last year's %]. As some cases will have more than one modifiable factor, the total percentages can add up to more than 100%:

- Mental health issues (parent or child) (17.8% of all deaths [29%])
- Alcohol / substance misuse (parent/child) (13.3% of all deaths [19%])
- Smoking by the mother/parent/or carer during pregnancy or in the first few years of a child's life (19.2% of all deaths under one)
- High maternal body mass index (BMI) (15.4% of all deaths under one)
- Domestic Violence
- Unsafe sleeping (11.5% of all deaths under one)
- Child Abuse or Neglect
- Housing overcrowding
- Failure by parents to access services when child had long term symptoms

Update on priorities 2019-20

 ✓ Undertake a self-assessment against the standards identified in the new operational guidance, and identify corrective actions to ensure compliance;

A self-assessment framework against the standards set out in the operational guidance was established. CDOP has made an initial assessment of where there are gaps with compliance, and has developed an action plan to address these. CDOP is monitoring the processes through regular business meetings which has representation from the statutory partners.

✓ Develop and agree a MOU between the Statutory Partners (LAs/CCGs) to clarify roles and expectations;

A MOU was developed through active consultation with agreement from all statutory partners. The MOU included the terms of reference for CDOP, including the responsibility of providing oversight of the child death review processes across Cheshire.

- ✓ Agree future funding formula for CDOP and broader Child Death Review processes. Discussions have taken place to clarify funding contributions of partners.
- Implement the eCDOP programme across Cheshire, to improve processes and minimise additional administrative burdens;

eCDOP was commissioned by partners to support the increased reporting expectations of the National Child Mortality Database.

 Undertake an audit of Learning Disability cases to determine the percentage of cases that did not meet the agreed protocol;

An audit of all Learning Disability cases was undertaken. In all the cases reviewed, all complied with the established protocol, including the LeDeR programme of the outcome of the review.

✓ Analyse the data on Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) and report on the findings next year.

A review of the information on ACEs is contained in this report, although further analysis of this data is recommended.

✓ Establish a formal business meeting, separate to the review meetings. (This will not be additional time but will provide opportunities for process development and oversight.)

A business meeting has now been established with a slightly different membership from CDOP review panels, to ensure that appropriate strategic oversight of the CDR processes are maintained.

✓ Support the Multi agency ICON programme designed to reduce baby-shaking & Safe sleep campaign which was developed to support practitioners to deliver the right messages to parents and carers.

These programmes have been amalgamated and integrated across Cheshire led by the NHS.

Update on recommendations for Local Safeguarding Partners in the annual report 2018-19 *(in italics)* Local Safeguarding Partners were asked to:

- 1. Support the commissioning and implementation of the eCDOP administrative software eCDOP was commissioned by partners to support the increased reporting expectations of the National Child Mortality Database.
- 2. Ensure that the new Safeguarding arrangements maintain strong links with the child death review processes as they evolve, and in particular, ensure full involvement of the relevant partners

The MOU makes clear that CDOP would consider what if any action should be taken in relation to any modifiable factors identified, and make recommendations to Local Safeguarding Partnership, Health and Wellbeing Boards and other relevant Strategic Partnerships.

Priorities for 2020-21:

- ✓ Agree future funding formula for CDOP and broader Child Death Review processes including funding for training and development and streamline the arrangements.
- ✓ Through the monitoring of the self-assessment framework and risk register, ensure that any elements of non-compliance are managed or escalated to appropriate partners.
- ✓ Ensure that CDOP receives the necessary documentation from Child Death Review meetings.
- ✓ Improve the scores on the notification and reporting fields highlighted by the National Child Mortality Database [NCMD] report.
- ✓ Advocate with other CDOPs for NCMD to produce national comparative data to facilitate better benchmarking, help set standards and help drive CDOP performance in terms of "completeness" and "timeliness" of child death reviews in the country.
- ✓ Determine how often were parents are invited to contribute to child death review meetings, how often was parental input received, and how often was outcome of CDRM fed back to families.
- ✓ Strengthen the governance relationship with the local Health and Wellbeing Boards.
- ✓ Review any Evaluation/outcome reports of ICON implementation
- ✓ CDOP response to the recent report A review of sudden unexpected death in infancy ( SUDI ) in families where the children are considered at risk of significant harm (July 2020): <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/safeguarding-children-at-risk-from-sudden-unexpected-infant-death</u>
- ✓ Support the review of the CDOP Nurse specialist role in relation to developing Cheshire CCG arrangements
- ✓ Ensure CDOP has a formal set of accounts

Recommendations for Local Strategic Partners Local Strategic Partners are asked to:

- 1. Note the contents of this report
- 2. Children's Safeguarding and Health and Wellbeing partners should clarify and monitor interagency initiatives are required to reduce the prevalence of modifiable factors identified in the under one population including:
  - Safe sleeping
  - Risk factors for reducing premature births including:
    - High BMI (including healthy diet and physical activity)
    - High blood pressure (linked to high BMI)
    - Smoking
    - Alcohol use
    - Substance misuse
    - Domestic violence
    - Mental health
    - Diabetes (often linked to BMI)
    - Lack of physical activity

Mike Leaf Independent Chair Pan-Cheshire CDOP September, 2020

#### **Overview and Processes**

**CDOP** Panel Meetings

#### **CDOP Membership**

Pan-Cheshire CDOP's core membership comprised of:

- Independent Chair
- CDOP Coordinator
- Designated Nurse for Safeguarding Children (Warrington and Halton)
- CDOP Nurses x 3 (Cheshire East, Cheshire West and Warrington/Halton)
- Specialist Midwife
- Public Health
- Coroner's officer
- Designated Doctor for Child deaths x 3 (Cheshire East, Cheshire West, Warrington/Halton)
- Police Representative from PPU Directorate
- Local Authority Head of Service, Safeguarding and Quality Assurance Unit
- Local Authority Service Manager, Children's Social Care
- Education Representative from Safeguarding in Education Team.
- Local Safeguarding Children Partnership
- Co-opted Advisory Member (Paediatrician/Deputy Coroner)
- North West Ambulance Service (where needed in cases of unexpected deaths)

The Pan-Cheshire CDOP has permanent representatives drawn from the key professionals who have an interest in children's health and safeguarding, and statutory partners. Members are not there to represent their individual organisations, but to represent a professional perspective/ insight to the cases presented. In addition to the specific roles identified below, all members of CCDOP are expected to:

- Ensure that they are fully prepared to contribute at each meeting by reading through the papers, and consulting colleagues where necessary beforehand.
- Ensure that there is a suitable alternative replacement to attend if it is not possible to attend
- Take away action points to their specific geography, agency or professional groups, and ensure that the action is undertaken within the required timescales

#### **Frequency of Meetings**

The panel currently meet on a quarterly basis and for a whole day. It has been agreed that this frequency will remain unless there was a significant number of cases to review. The business meeting will follow the panel meeting. At the time of writing, virtual meetings are in place as a result of the Covid 19 pandemic.

#### **Agency Representation at Panel Meetings**

The Pan-Cheshire CDOP met on five occasions between April 2019 and March 2020. Attendance is monitored on a regular basis to ensure quoracy and effective representation. On occasions there are times where professional demands must take priority. Representation has been consistent throughout the year.

Sector	Role
Chair	Independent CDOP Chair
	Designated Doctor CE
	Designated Doctor CWAC
Health	Cheshire East Specialist CDOP Nurse
	Cheshire West Specialist CDOP Nurse
	Warrington Designated Nurse Safeguarding
	Designated Nurse Halton CCG
	Supervisor of Midwives CWAC
	Warrington Safeguarding Nurse
	Coroner Officer
	Cheshire East Head of Service – Children's Safeguarding
Local Authority	Public Health Consultant (Cheshire W. and Chester)
	Local Authority Safeguarding Children Partnerhip Business Manager for
	Warrington Borough Council
Police	Public Protection Unit

Table 1: Agency representation

Processes/ Networks/ Reviews and Sub-groups

#### **Notification Process**

The notification process via paediatric liaison and hospital/hospice staff functions well. By crossreferencing with the annual NHS England return (regarding notifications from Registrars to NHS England), CDOP is confident that it is notified of all child deaths. When Cheshire child deaths occur out of area, CDOP is often notified by Cheshire agencies, as well as by the CDOP contact in the respective area where the death occurred. This demonstrates effective communication between local organisations and CDOP.

#### **SUDiC Guidance**

The Pan-Cheshire SUDiC guidance has been updated and widely circulated, and aligned to the new Statutory and Operational Child Death Review Guidance.

#### Links to Coroners and Registrars

Within Cheshire there is an excellent working relationship with the Coroners offices, with senior coroner's officer representation, and any specific investigatory work being undertaken e.g. a *review* of fatal self-harm in children and adolescents (previous).

#### **Deaths of Children Living Outside Cheshire**

Whilst CDOP is responsible for the review of child deaths resident in Cheshire, there is an expectation that it should receive notification of child deaths for children who live out of area, but have died within the boundary. As Cheshire borders Wales, where there is a different process for reviewing child deaths, the numbers of these children may be significant. CDOPs across the country should routinely notify the CDOP where the child died, and visa versa. Any deviations from this process are followed up. In the future, some deaths may be reviewed of non-resident children where there is local learning to be uncovered, but this will be discussed with the CDOP of the child's residency. This

will be done on a case by case basis. Professionals have a responsibility to notify the CDOP administrator if they learn of the death abroad of a either a child or an infant born to a mother who normally resides in the Cheshire area so that the death may be verified, SUDIC procedures implemented and a JAR initiated.

#### **Communicating with Parents, Families and Carers**

Leaflets and a letter are made available to any parent following the death of a child. A new NHS England leaflet has been produced for use locally. <u>"When a Child Dies"</u> provides a detailed explanation of many of the processes associated with a child's death. Parents are invited to contribute any comments to the review of their child's death, and CDOP will monitor this.

#### Deaths involving other reviews and investigations

Child deaths are considered at panel once all relevant investigations and reports have been completed. These include any Children's Safeguarding Practice Review, Coroners enquiry, Healthcare Safety Investigation Board review, criminal enquiry, or internal review. This approach is consistent with that undertaken across the North-West and much of England, and will continue under the new local and national procedures. This may, on occasions, result in a delay between notification and review completion and CDOP will continue to monitor this process and any delays. This explains why there is often a difference between the number of death notifications, and the number of reviewed cases. In 2019/20 however, they were the same.

#### **Regional/ National Links/ Updates:**

#### North-West meetings

Pan-Cheshire CDOP continues to be represented at the north-west CDOP meetings. A common dataset was agreed for all North-West annual reports to allow for the compilation of an overview report covering the area. A North-West CDOP report is produced annually, although falls out of sequence from local CDOP annual reports.

#### **National Network**

Some Cheshire CDOP members form part of the national network group which advises on issues of national interest, including the transfer of the CDOP responsibilities to the Department of Health. Panel members attend the national event and feed back to panel.

#### **Issues Identified**

#### **Missing Data**

There has been an improvement on the details provided on the forms, but the failure to provide consistent information can create issues. For example, the lack of details of the father/significant male/other parent in the family, is particularly relevant in relation to necessary checks regarding domestic violence. This forms part of an ongoing dialogue with representatives and remains under scrutiny. These processes will be strengthened with the new child death review processes as there is a legal responsibility for organisations to provide information. CDOP will continue to monitor and remaind partners of this obligation. Where the panel have insufficient information to make a decision, further details are sought, and the case postponed.

National annual statistical data

All data from CDOPs in England is now incorporated into the National Child Mortality Database which receives timely information from all areas. NCMD produces quarterly reports, together with an annual report for each CDOP. This report forms the basis of the Pan-Cheshire CDOP report contained in Appendix I.

Priorities for 2020-21:

- ✓ Agree future funding formula for CDOP and broader Child Death Review processes including funding for training and development.
- ✓ Through the monitoring of the self-assessment framework and risk register, ensure that any elements of non-compliance are managed or escalated to appropriate partners.
- ✓ Ensure that CDOP receives the necessary documentation from Child Death Review meetings.
- ✓ Improve the scores on the notification and reporting fields highlighted by the NCMD report.
- ✓ Advocate with other CDOPs for NCMD to produce national comparative data to facilitate better benchmarking, help set standards and help drive CDOP performance in terms of "completeness" and "timeliness" of child death reviews in the country.
- Determine how often were parents are invited to contribute to child death review meetings, how often was parental input received, and how often was outcome of CDRM fed back to families.
- ✓ Strengthen the governance relationship with the local Health and Wellbeing Boards.
- ✓ Review any Evaluation/outcome reports of ICON implementation
- CDOP response to the recent report A review of sudden unexpected death in infancy (SUDI) in families where the children are considered at risk of significant harm (July 2020): <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/safeguarding-children-at-risk-from-sudden-unexpected-infant-death</u>
- ✓ Support the review of the CDOP Nurse specialist role in relation to developing Cheshire CCG arrangements
- ✓ Ensure CDOP has a formal set of accounts

## Section 3: Data and Analysis

It should be noted that it is often difficult to make clear conclusions from analysing data from a relatively small number of cases reviewed each year. The learning from each individual case is noted at each CDOP meeting, with the appropriate action taken at that time. Where reviews have already been undertaken e.g. hospital mortality reviews, action has usually already been taken. Cheshire's figures are amalgamated with other CDOP data across the NW to provide opportunities for identifying more reliable trends. Notified deaths are categorised according to place of residency using postcodes.

This section differs from previous years in that the first part (a) describes Cheshire trends over several years, followed by (b) the narrative to accompany the National Child Mortality Database (NCMD) data contained in Appendix I, which is its first annual data output.

#### (a) Trends

When dealing with relatively small numbers, there can be wide fluctuations year on year. By considering numbers over time, one can look at trends in the figures.

#### Child death notifications over time



Encouragingly, Figure 1 shows a slight continuing downward trend in child death notifications per year for Cheshire (see trend line). The mean average number of notifications over the last 5 years is 53.8, which is slightly below the recommended lower limit of 60 deaths per year by NHSE.

#### **Child Population**

The child population estimates in each of the four Local Authority areas are detailed in the following Figure 2.

Figure 2: Child Populations by local authority

LSCB area	Child population size* (0- 17 years)
Cheshire East	77,290
Cheshire West & Chester	68,656
Halton	28,770
Warrington	44,391
Pan Cheshire	219,107

\* Source: ONS mid-Year Population Estimates, 2019



Local child populations are useful when comparing local areas. Normally, one would expect to see the numbers of deaths in each geography, to be proportionate to the number of under 18-year olds living in each, but there may be differences according to deprivation levels. Figure 3 shows the rate of deaths per 10,000 under 18 population over the last 3 years, and highlights a gradual reduction in the rate amongst all areas. The most current ONS Mid-year estimate was used for each year. Warrington is slightly higher than the Pan-Cheshire rate 2019-20, but the overall trend for Cheshire is downwards.



#### Expected / Unexpected deaths

An expected death refers to a death that could reasonably been foreseen by clinicians for a period of at least 24 hours before it occurred. An unexpected death is then defined as the death of an infant or child which was not anticipated as a significant possibility 24 hours before the death or, where there was was an unexpected collapse or incident precipitating the events that led to that death. During 2019-20, 18 (40%) deaths were classified as 'unexpected' (Fig 4).



Fig 6 shows the distribution of unexpected deaths by category of death.

#### (b) National Child Mortality Database (NCMD) data (Appendix I)

The following narrative describes the various elements contained in Appendix I which is the first report from the NCMD.

#### Deaths and Case Completions (Table A; Tables 1-4 – Appendix I)

There was a total of 45 deaths notified during the last year, and 45 cases closed (completed by Pan-Cheshire CDOP). 76 deaths were registered with NCMD during the last delivery year, some outstanding from the previous year. At 31<sup>st</sup> March 2020, 31 cases were ongoing. **Table 2** highlights the breakdown of closed and open cases by local authority area. The number of closed/ open cases by age group is covered in **Table 3** which broadly reflects the expected distribution of deaths by age, with the majority occurring under the age of one year old. **Table 4** provides a breakdown of cases completed by local authority areas. The proportion of cases completed broadly follows the split of local authority under 18 populations.

#### Deaths by gender (Table 5)

From April 2019 – March 2020 of the 45 child deaths reviewed by the CDOP, 22 were male or 49% (77.5% previous year) and 23 or 51% were female (22.5% previous year).

#### Completed reviews by primary category of death and by age (Tables 6-7)

The majority of all deaths (51%) had a cause associated with chromosomal, genetic, congenital anomaly or as a result perinatal/neonatal event **(Table 6)**, and 55% of all deaths occurring under the age of one year **(Table 7)**. There were 2 instances where death was attributed to deliberately inflicted injury, abuse or neglect.

#### Completed reviews by place of death and onset of illness/incident (Tables 8-9)

As one might expect, most deaths (64%) occur with a hospital **(Table 8)** and of those who died in hospital, 86% (25) died in the perinatal/neonatal/maternity/labour units. Interestingly, one child died whilst at school. **Table 9** provides the breakdown of where the onset of illness or incident occurred.

#### Ethnic groups and category of death (Tables 10-11)

91% (41) of those children who died where categorised as white, and fewer than 5 children from other ethnic inheritance. 3 Asian/ Asian British **(Table 10). Table 11** shows the primary category of death by ethnicity. There are no specific patterns in relation to ethnicity.

#### Deaths reviewed by CDOP with modifiable factors (Tables 12-15)

A modifiable factor is one which may have contributed to the death of the child and which, by means of locally or nationally achievable interventions, could be modified to reduce the risk of future child



deaths. It can be seen that from **Table 12**, 37.7% of cases reviewed (17) had identifiable modifiable factors, which is higher than the national average of 30%.

Of these (11), 64.7% were linked to deaths under one year of age (Table 14). For the categories including deliberate selfinflicted injury, abuse or neglect; sudden unexpected, unexplained death; or suicide or deliberate self-inflicted harm, modifiable factors where identified in all cases reviewed (Table 13). No modifiable factors were identified in the 4 non-white children (Table 15).

Fig 6 gives a breakdown of the modifiable factors identified by age (in order of prevalence) [last year's %]:

- Mental health issues (parent or child) (17.8% of all deaths [29%])
- Alcohol / substance misuse (parent/child) (13.3% of all deaths [19%])
- Smoking by the mother/parent/or carer during pregnancy or in the first few years of a child's life (19.2% of all deaths under one)
- High maternal body mass index (BMI) (15.4% of all deaths under one)
- Domestic Violence
- Unsafe sleeping (11.5% of all deaths under one)
- Child Abuse or Neglect
- Housing overcrowding
- Failure by parents to access services when child had long term symptoms

The highest annual number of deaths occur neonatally (under 28 days), often as a result of complications through prematurity. Smoking, alcohol consumption, high maternal BMI, and domestic abuse all are known to increase the risk of prematurity and low birth weight, resulting in an increased level of vulnerability and risk of early infant death. It is important that all parts of the health and social care system reinforce messages that reduce risk of prematurity and low birth weight, especially during pregnancy.

#### Death notifications (Tables 16 – 20)

CDOP can be notified of the death of a child by any organisation or an individual. CDOP may receive several notifications for the same child, but where this occurs, it will be classified as a single notification. A breakdown of notifications by Local Authority area is provided in **Table 16** which broadly correlates to the relevant under 18 populations in each area.

**Table 17** shows the number of Joint Agency Responses (JARs) undertaken. A JAR is a coordinatedmulti-agency response which is triggered if a child's death:

- is or could be due to external causes;
- is sudden and there is no immediately apparent cause (including SUDI/C);
- occurs in custody, or where the child was detained under the Mental Health Act;
- where the initial circumstances raise any suspicions that the death may not have been natural; or
- in the case of a stillbirth where no healthcare professional was in attendance.

In Cheshire, 49% of death notifications did not indicate whether a JAR had been undertaken or not. The reasons for this will be explored by CDOP Business group to ensure that this figure is improved. This may partly be down to the person completing the form at the time, not knowing whether a JAR had been instigated, but this should be corrected further into the process once SUDC processes are activated.

**Table 18** shows death notifications by month/age, where it can be seen that the highest number of notifications occurred in April and August followed by May and October. This Table will become more useful when we can see trends from year to year and national comparisons. Notifications by age group feature in **Table 19** which clearly indicates that the majority of deaths occur in the first year of life (64%) compared to 63% nationally. Deaths in childhood occur during the first year of a child's life, and are strongly influenced by pre-term delivery and low birth weight; with risk factors including maternal age, smoking and disadvantaged circumstances (<u>Wolfe and Macfarlan, 2015</u>). Indeed, it can be seen from the same table and **Table 19** that Cheshire is similar to national proportions in relation to notifications by age.

**Table 20** shows death notifications by place of death.

#### Data completeness- Notifications and Completed Reviews (Tables 21-24)

The NCMD Report is a national repository for data from all CDOPs across England, and consequently provided an opportunity to provide comparative data. Clearly, there will be longer term benefits each year new data is gathered. In the first report, there has been an attempt to established national standards for completion of certain information. Reliable comparisons can only be made if all CDOPs collect and provide the same information. **Tables 21, 22 and 23** highlight that in the first year of collecting information, Pan-Cheshire CDOP has under-reported on:

- ✓ Specified hospitals
- ✓ Joint Agency Responses
- ✓ Notification details
- ✓ Investigations by the coroner
- ✓ Cases discussed with the medical examiner

#### ✓ Cases known to social care

CDOP has added these to its priority list for the next year to ensure an improvement next year through regular monitoring

Cheshire CDOP tends to take significantly less time to bring cases to panel from initial notification compared to national figures (198 days compared to 274 Table 25). (Figure 7 provides a breakdown of the time taken to complete the reviews over the last 4 years. It shows that during 2019/20, 51.1% of reviews were completed within 6 months and



there has been a gradual but significant decline in the number of reviews taking more than six months to complete. CDOP is confident that unnecessary delays in the process are being kept to a minimum. Some of these delays have been introduced as a result of the link to the North West Neonatal Operational Delivery Network (NWNODN), as CDOP now waits for neonate reviews from the network, before considering them at panel.

#### **Category of Child Death**

The CDOP panel is required to record each death against 1 of 10 nationally-set categories as follows:

Category 1: Deliberately inflicted injury, abuse or neglect (0) Category 2: Suicide or deliberate self-inflicted harm (1) Category 3: Trauma and other external factors (4) Category 4: Malignancy (2) Category 5: Acute medical or surgical condition (3) Category 6: Chronic medical condition (2) Category 7: Chromosomal, genetic and congenital anomalies (11) Category 8: Perinatal/neonatal event (20) Category 9: Infection (4) Category 10: Sudden unexpected, unexplained death (2)

#### Acknowledgements

As noted in the foreword much of the business of the CDOP is dependent on the continued support of panel members and the administrative support. I would like to take this opportunity to thank the panel members for their continued support and especially Anne McKenzie who ensures the panel runs smoothly.

Mike Leaf

### **Glossary of Terms**

Term	Meaning
Child	A person aged 0-18 <sup>th</sup> birthday
Expected death	A death that could have been reasonably predicted 24 hours before the death occurred or 24 hours before the immediate events leading to the death occurred
Infant	Aged less than 1 year of age
Modifiable factors	Factors associated with a death which by means of locally or nationally achievable interventions, could be modified to reduce the risk of future child deaths
Neonatal period	From birth until 28 days of life
Perinatal period	From viable gestation (around 23 weeks of pregnancy) until 7 days following birth
Unexpected death	A death that could not have been reasonably foreseen 24 hours before it occurs – or where there was an unexpected collapse or precipitating events leading to the death

#### Abbreviations

- CDOP Child Death Overview Panel
- SUDI Sudden Unexplained Death in Infants
- LSCB Local Safeguarding Children Board



# NCMD Monitoring Report for CDOPs

### Pan Cheshire CDOP

Report created on: 19/05/2020

This report contains confidential information which is intented for use by the CDOP named above for monitoring and data quality purposes. This report must not be shared with anyone who does not have a role within the CDOP. All data presented within this report is unvalidated and therefore should be interpreted with caution. Only data which has been submitted to NCMD is included within this report and therefore may not be representative of all child deaths within the area.

Produced by National Child Mortality Database Programme Team. If you have any queries please contact ncmd-programme@bristol.ac.uk



Table 3





## Completed Reviews - Modifiable Factors



% of cases where modifiable factors were identified by category of death

Primary category of death (CDOP)	Completed Reviews	Cases where modifiable factors identified	Modifiable Factors Identified (%)
Acute medical or surgical condition	3	1	33%
Chromosomal, genetic and congenital anomalies	12	1	8%
Chronic medical condition	0	0	0%
Deliberately inflicted injury, abuse or neglect	2	2	100%
Infection	4	1	25%
Malignancy	6	1	17%
Perinatal/neonatal event	11	5	45%
Sudden unexpected, unexplained death	2	2	100%
Suicide or deliberate self-inflicted harm	3	3	100%
Trauma and other external factors, including medical/surgical complications/error	2	1	50%
Total	45	17	38%

 
 % of cases where modifiable factors were identified by augroup
 Completed Reviews
 Cases where modifiable factors identified
 Modifiable Factors

 0 - 27 days
 18
 6
 33%

 28 - 364 days
 7
 5
 71%

 1 - 4 years
 8
 2
 25%

 5 - 9 years
 2
 0
 0%

 10 - 14 years
 6
 3
 50%

 15 - 17 years
 4
 1
 25%

with a CDOP meeting date between 1st April 2019 and 31st March 2020

 Total
 45
 17
 38%

 Table 14
 % of cases where modifiable factors were identified by ethnic group
 Ethnic Group
 Modifiable
 Modifiable

	Reviews	modifiable factors identified	Factors Identified (%)
Asian or Asian British	3	0	0%
Black or Black British	0	0	0%
Mixed	0	0	0%
Other	1	0	0%
Unknown	0	0	0%
White	41	17	41%
Total	45	17	38%

Table 15

Table 13



Data Comp	piete	nes	S - 2	2019	9/20		<b>UTIC</b>	atior	าร   "	etween 1st Apr	ril 2019 and 3	1st March 2020	0		lational	Child Mor	V tality Da	atabase
Potential duplicat O Notification fields - % col		of fields			DoB/DoD e <b>0</b> ations)	errors:	C	ases with Cl	DOP meeti	ing date not y	yet closed:	90 - 10		od level ta compl				ment
CDOP Name	Cases	NHS No	DoD	Gender	Postcode	Suspected CoD		Hospital specified ^	Joint agency response	Notification Details								
Pan Cheshire	45	91%	100%	91%	91%	100%	96%	84%	51%	67%								

Potential duplicates: <b>0</b>		Cas	es with Dol	B/DoD errors:		Cases with (		ing date not	yet closed	90	- 100% Good 0% Data (	level of data completenes		
ification fields - % completio	on of fields													
OP Name	Cases	NHS No	DoD	Gender	Postcode	Suspected CoD	Place of Death	Hospital specified ^	Joint agency response	Notification Details	Investigated by Coroner	Discussed with medical examiner	Post Mortem	
Cheshire	45	93%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	84%	67%	89%	84%	73%	100%	
porting fields - % completion	of fields <sub>Cases</sub>	Events	Ethnicity	Table 22 Circumstances	Where at	Formal	Known to	Subject to						
		occurred selection		of Death	onset of illness	serious investigation	social care*	ŚCR				<b>T</b> 11 05		
n Cheshire	45	98%	100%	100%	100%	98%	89%	100%	<b>_</b>			Table 25		
alysis fields - % completion c	of fields			Table 23						ian number of h and CDOP n	days between neeting			Eng 2
DOP Name	Cases	CDOP category of death	CDOP meeting date	Cause of death	Domain factors recorded (at least 1)	Modifiable factors selection	Learning points text	Actions text		CDOP comple	eted cases by ti	me taken		
n Cheshire	45	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%		42%	40%		
Number of CDOP Meetings:				Table 24					0%					18%
5										a. <		b. 6 - 12 mont	hs c. > CDOP meet	12 mor

### Appendix II: Classification of Death

This classification is hierarchical: where more than one category could reasonably be applied, the highest up the list should be marked.

Category	Name & description of category	Tick box below
1	Deliberatelyinflictedinjury,abuseorneglectThis includes suffocation, shaking injury, knifing, shooting, poisoning & other meansof probable or definite homicide; also deaths from war, terrorism or other massviolence; includes severe neglect leading to death.	
2	Suicideordeliberateself-inflictedharmThis includes hanging, shooting, self-poisoning with paracetamol, death by self- asphyxia, from solvent inhalation, alcohol or drug abuse, or other form of self-harm. It will usually apply to adolescents rather than younger children.	
3	TraumaandotherexternalfactorsThis includes isolated head injury, other or multiple trauma, burn injury, drowning, unintentional self-poisoning in pre-school children, anaphylaxis & other extrinsic factors. Excludes Deliberately inflected injury, abuse or neglect. (category 1).	
4	Malignancy Solid tumours, leukaemias & lymphomas, and malignant proliferative conditions such as histiocytosis, even if the final event leading to death was infection, haemorrhage etc.	

5	AcutemedicalorsurgicalconditionFor example, Kawasaki disease, acute nephritis, intestinal volvulus, diabeticketoacidosis, acute asthma, intussusception, appendicitis; sudden unexpecteddeaths with epilepsy.	
6	ChronicmedicalconditionFor example, Crohn's disease, liver disease, immune deficiencies, even if the final event leading to death was infection, haemorrhage etc. Includes cerebral palsy with clear post-perinatal cause.	
7	Chromosomal,geneticandcongenitalanomaliesTrisomies, other chromosomal disorders, single gene defects, neurodegenerative disease,cystic fibrosis, and other congenital anomalies including cardiac.	
8	Perinatal/neonataleventDeath ultimately related to perinatal events, eg sequelae of prematurity, antepartum and intrapartum anoxia, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, post- haemorrhagic hydrocephalus, irrespective of age at death. It includes cerebral palsy without evidence of cause, and includes congenital or early-onset bacterial infection (onset in the first postnatal week).	
9	Infection Any primary infection (ie, not a complication of one of the above categories), arising after the first postnatal week, or after discharge of a preterm baby. This would include septicaemia, pneumonia, meningitis, HIV infection etc.	
10	Suddenunexpected,unexplaineddeathWhere the pathological diagnosis is either 'SIDS' or 'unascertained', at any age.Excludes Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy (category 5).	